APPENDIX A DRAINAGE FORMS

	•		

ENGINEERING "NO-RISE" CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that I am duly qualified engineer licensed to
practice in the State of
It is to further certify that the attached technical data supports
the fact that proposed
(Name of Development) will not impact
the 100-year flood elevations, floodway elevations and
floodway widths on
(Name of Stream)
at published sections in the Flood Insurance Study for
(Name of Community) , dated
(Name of Community)
and will not impact
the 100-year flood elevations, floodway elevations, and
floodway widths at unpublished cross-sections in the vicinity of
the proposed development:
(Date) (Signature)
(Title)
(IICIE)
SEAL:
(Address)

FEMA, NTHD 8/91



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Region IV 1371 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30309

Data Request Checklist for A Conditional Letter of Map Revision

Requesto	r:		Date:	
a condit	ional Lett	er of 1	pelow in Column 1 is required to process the requestap Revision. Information checked in Column 2 has be resubmitted unless specifically requested.	
(1) Req'd <u>Data</u>	(2) Rec'd <u>Data</u>			
		1.	Initial fee for conditional Letter of Map Revision (Checks made to UNITED STATES TREASURY.)	on:
			 a. New bridge or culvert (no channelization) b. Channel modifications only c. Channel modification and new bridge or culvert d. Levees, berms or other structural measures 	\$350 \$400 \$525 \$675
	•	2.	A concise statement indicating the natural and e the proposed revision requested for the FIS/FIRM	
	***************************************	3.	Letter from the community requesting a revision FIS/FIRM.	to the
***************************************		4.	State approval of the proposed revision.	
	-	5.	A brief statement describing the methodology used determine hydrologic and/or hydraulic parameters (revised existing and/or proposed).	
		6.	New/Revised hydrologic analyses (including a Sur Discharges table) for existing conditions.	mary of
		7.	New/Revised hydrologic analyses (including a Sur Discharges table) for proposed conditions.	mmary of
		8.	Hydraulic analyses (computer models - input and which duplicate the hydraulic analyses used for effective FIS (baseline model) for the followin frequency floods: 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year and floodway.	the g

	9.	New/Revised hydraulic analyses (computer models - input and output) for <u>existing</u> conditions for the following frequency floods: 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods and floodway.
 	10.	New/Revised hydraulic analyses (computer models - input and output) for <u>proposed</u> conditions for the following frequency floods: 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods and floodway.
	11.	Certified topographic work map with existing and proposed topography showing revised existing and/or proposed 100- and 500 year flood boundaries, 100-year floodway, base flood elevations, cross sections, stream alignment, and road alignment.
 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.	Annotated FIRM and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (FBFM) showing revised existing and/or proposed 100- and 500-year flood boundaries, 100-year floodway, base flood alignment, and corporate limits.
 	13.	Annotated FIS flood profile(s) showing revised existing and/or proposed 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year flood profiles.
-	14.	Annotated FIS Floodway Data Table(s) showing revised existing and/or proposed floodway data.
	15.	Certified construction plans for the proposed floodway modifications.
	16.	Certification from a Federal agency or registered professional engineer indicating proposed structural flood protection measures are adequately designed and will be maintained to withstand a 100-year flood.
	17.	A draft copy of an operation and maintenance plan for any proposed structural flood protection measures.
	18.	Written statement from the community indicating they will accept ultimate responsibility for maintenance of a structural flood protection system.
	19.	Study which addresses interior drainage for area to be protected by a proposed levee or dike system.

-	 20.	Docu	mentation supporting one of the following:
		a.	A public notice stating the community's intent to revise the floodway.
		b.	Evidence indicating the entire floodway revision is contained on the appellant's property.
	 21.	Othe	er:

** Please note the submittal of the items listed on the above list will initiate the review process. However, as the review progresses, you may be asked to submit additional information including, but not limited to, those data listed above.

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Division of Design 7-93	DR	AINAGE I	DESIGN S	SUMMAR	Y	TC 6	51-100	1 0	of 2				
County:			Route:				Item No.	:					
UPN:			FPN:				Station:						
		ЕΣ	KISTING (CONDITIC	NS								
Stream Name:			Drainage	Area:				Slope:					
OHW Elev. :	Drif	ft:		Bed Mate	erial:			D 50	•				
Abrasion Level:	pН	:		Restivity	:		Date	e Taken:					
Return Interval (Years)		2	5	10	2:	5	50	100	500				
Discharge (cfs)													
Flow Depth or Tailwater	(ft)												
Velocity (fps)													
		PROPOSED STRUCTURE											
Type:		Len	gth, Size,	Size, Spans, Skew:									
Lt. Abut. or Inlet Type	:		Rt. Abut. or Outlet Type:										
Coating:		Cover He	eight :			Lov	v Road Ele	v. :					
Net Opening:		Low Bea	m Elev.:			Gra	te Elev.:						
Slope:		Inlet Elev	nlet Elev. : Outlet Elev. :										
Alternates	1.			2.									
WSEL w/Structure													
WSEL w/o Structure													
Velocity w/Structure (1	fps)												
Q over Road Lt / Rt (c	cfs)	/	/	/		/	/	/	/				
		E	XISTING	STRUCTU	RE								
Type:		Len	gth, Size,	Spans, Ske	w:								
Lt. Abut. or Inlet Type	•			Rt. Abut	or C	Outlet	Type:						
Condition:						Lov	v Road Ele	ev. :					
Net Opening:		Low Bea	m Elev.:			Gra	te Elev.:						
Slope:		Inlet Elev	<i>7</i> .:			Out	let Elev.:						
WSEL w/Structure				,									
WSEL w/o Structure													
Velocity w/Structure (1	fps)												
O over Road Lt / Rt (c	efs)	/	/	/		/	/	/	/				

DRAINAGE DESIGN	SUM	MARY:	ГС 6	1-100	(Rev.	6-93)			2	of 2	2
		REM/	ARKS	and	/or	CON	TROLS					
		-										
		RECO	ORD	HIGH	-	TER	DATA					
Source	1.				2.				3.	***************************************	I	
Elevation												
Date						***************************************						
Location						Personal de la companya de la compan						
		PROP	OSE	D CH	ANN	EL I	LINING					
Location		Class	T	hickne		I	oth Protect	I	ength		Qua	ıntity (Tn)
Upstream		-										
Downstream												
]	PROI	POSEI	D DE	TOU	······································			-		
Flooding Return Interval Discharge Elevation												
Design												. 1
Overflow			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
Recommended Size and	Туре	of Openin	ig(s)			-					-	
PROPO!	SED 1	BOX CUL	VER	T OR	SPE	==== CIAL	. WINGWA	 \LL /	NGL	ES		
	<u> </u>	A_3		T T		End ?			Skew		 End ?	
INLET		-OUTLET		Loc	ation		1		2		3	4
2		₹	1	30 I	Degre	e						
	: =	<u> </u>	I	Skev								
SKEWED LEFT NORMAL HEADWALI	: L '	SKEWED RIGHT	!	Spec	 cial							
	. 1	OTHER S	ITE S			INFC	DRMATION	1				
								•				
										-		
		<u> </u>										
												•

HYDRAULIC SITE DESCRIPTION

D	e Weather	
P	sonnel	
_ 1.	County 2. Road Name	
3.	IPN 3a. Federal Number	
4.	tation55.	
6.	traight Twisting Banks Lined With Trees Islands Rock Bottom uggested mannings "n" value (See Drainage Manual) Channel appears Clear Restricted emarks:	1
7.	OIL DESCRIPTION lay (Hard and Non-Porous)	
	RIFT Would multiple barrel drift present? Yes No If so, is it: Light Heavy structure pick up drift? Yes No Marks:	
).	Average Extreme Average Extreme Stage Is there backwater at the outlet? Yes Name source of information Name source of information	
	evation at which high water causes damage Houses	
	evation of ground at building Elevation of basement floor evation of ground floor Other elevations	
	evation inlet flood level Elevation outlet flood level Elevation outlet high water Elevation outlet high water idth or Span Height Length or Roadway Width (Show sketch) existing structure Too small Too large Does water go over road? Yes No escription of existing structure (Bridge, pipe, box, concrete, steel, stone, etc.)	
•	ame of stream	

Preliminary Drainage (Risk) Assessment

tor

Floodplain Encroachments

County:	Route:	Station:
UPN:	FPN:	Item No:
	ment involving the application of h potential problems and alternative	hydrologic, hydraulic, and geomorphic solutions.
Do Hydrology.		
Do Field Survey (i.e. bridge open	ing, roadway profile, stream profi	ile, hydraulic sections, etc.).
Review (check) available document County Soils Study Flood Insurance Study USCOE Study	ntation:	lile ☐ Bridge Plans ☐ Flood Insurance Maps ☐ Roadway Plans Other:
Identify Problems:		
		1? ☐ Yes ☐ No; if No, go to LEVEL 2.
If the proposed structure is a new		
If the proposed crossing is >2 br length) multispan, > 100 ' single	•	1' grade change, > 50' (total bridge ay; go to LEVEL 2.
Replace with hydrologic, hydrauli	c, and geomorphically Equivalent	Crossing.
Document Design.		
	omorphic factors of the stream.	qualitative assessment of the hydrologic,
Do Stream Stability Analysis.		
Do Hydraulic Analysis.		
Do Scour Analysis.		
Were the Design Controls met?	Yes No; if No, explain; ther	n go to LEVEL 3:
If the deck area is > 125,000 squ	are feet; go to LEVEL 3.	
If the existing or proposed structu	re is a unique bridge, foundation,	etc.; go to LEVEL 3.
Document Design.		
hydraulic modelin	g. This analysis is necessary only	hematical modeling and possibly physical for high risk locations, extra-ordinarily losses and liability costs are high.
Check if used: FESWMS Ana Risk Analysis	lysis	ification*
	< PROPOSED, PURCHASE FLOODWAY FION < PROPOSED, PURCHASE FLOOD	

Document Design.

Proj. No. : Item No. :	Kent Div	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Division of Design 7-93	nsportatio Vesign	on Cabine 7-93	*	INC CI	INLET SPACING: CURB-OPENING.	ACINC		RATE	D. &	SLOT	TED	PIPE	N.E.E.		TC 61-120	.120	Ряде	Ø.	90		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Q Qc Qa Sx Sw/ So T/W T d V W/T Eo Se Li L/Li Rf 1-Eo Rs E Qi Sx Sw So T/W T d V W/T Eo Se Li L/Li Rf 1-Eo Rs E Qi Sx Sw Sx Sw Sw Sx Sw Sw Sx Sw Sw Sx Sw	Conn	ıty:					Route						Proj. N	ło. :					Item N	. : 0			
0	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	70	21	22	23
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sta. Loc.		Ò	Oc	Qa		Sw / Sx		T/W	L	p		W/T	Eo	Se		L/Lt		1-Eo	Rs	田	.i	တ
: da =																							
: da = Ta = Lg =																							
: da = Ta = I_ = Lg = Li =																							
: da = Ta = Li = va= Li =																							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,									
: da = Ta = Cw = Co = h = do = A/2 = Dis																							
: da = Ta = Lg = a = Li = do = A/Z = P/Z																							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$																							
: da = Ta = Lg = Lg = Li =																							
: da = Ta = I = wg = Lg = a = Li = do = A/2 = P/2 =																							
: da = Ta = I = n = Wg = Lg = a = Li = do = A/2 = P/2 = P/2																							
: da = Ta = I = m = Wg = Lg = a = Li = do = A/2 = P/2																							
: da = Ta = I = n = Wg = Lg = a = Li =																							
: da =																						,	
$: da = \underbrace{\hspace{1cm} I = \hspace{1cm} I = \hspace{1cm} n = \hspace{1cm} Wg = \underbrace{\hspace{1cm} Lg = \hspace{1cm} a = \hspace{1cm} Li = \hspace{1cm} Ii}_{\text{SUM}} = \underbrace{\hspace{1cm} Cw = \hspace{1cm} Cw = \hspace{1cm} A/2 = \hspace{1cm} P/2}_{\text{NO}}$																							
: $Q_{SUM} =$ Cw = Co = h = do = A/2 = P/2	ALL	INLEI		-ka 														ત્ય					
	SAG	INLEI						°.							J 	. = ot		, A/,					

Kentucky Transportation Ca Division of Design 7	abinet BR	IDGE	AND CULVERT	SUMMARY		of
County:			Route:		Item No.	
Road Name:						
UPN:			FPN:		Folder No.:	
Type of Work:					Section:	
Designer:	-			Reviewer:	•	
Termini:						
Bridges :			Box Culverts:		Pipe Culverts:	
Arch Culverts:			Entrance Pipes:		Box Inlets:	
Channel Changes:			Other:			
Station	Structure		Structure	Drawing No.	Remarks	Page
	-					
				-		
		†				

	T	T	Γ							<u> </u>	Ī	 	ĺ	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī	
jo		15	Full	cfs														
Ряое	Item No.	14	Mean	fps														
		13	Pipe	in														
TC 61-505		12	Pipe	ft / ft														
	 	111	Pipe	LF			,											
	Proj. No.	10	Ò	cfs														
		6	I	in /hr														
. X		∞	ΣТ	mim														
DESIGN		7	ΔТ	min														
STORM SEWER DESIGN:	Route:	9	ΣCA	Acre					-				-					
STORM		5	Δ CA	Acre														
		4	"C"															
n Cabinet 7-93		3	Δ Acre	Acre		·												
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Division of Design 7-93		2	Station															
Kentucky 7 Division	County:		Inlet or	No.														

Kentucky Tran Division o	sportation f Design	Cabinet 7-93	СНА	NNEL	ANAL	YSIS :			TC 6	1-507 Pag	ze	of
County:							Item 1	No.:				
Project No.	•		1				Route	·:				
	Σ					Chan.	Sect.					
Location	Acres	С	Тс	I	Q	ss _v	w_/ ss	n	So	dn	V	Remarks
						7_						
						7						
						7_	_/					
						7						
						<u>\</u>						
						7						
						<u>\</u>						
						7_	_/					
						7	_/			·		
						7_					:	
						7	_/					
						7_	_/					
						7						
						7_						
						7_	_/					
						<u></u>	_/					
						7_	_/					
						7_	_/			·		
						7_	_/					
							_/					
						7						
							_/					
						\						

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Division of Design 7-93	Transportation Cabinet 1 of Design 7-93	et	ENE	ENERGY GRADE LINE: FOR STORM SEWERS	GRADE L STORM S	LINE 1 SEW	E: VERS						TC	TC 61-508	Page	Jo
County:				Route:					Proj. No.:	Vo. :				Item No.		
•			, ,				Inlet	et					Pipe			(
Station	Struct	>	Inlet Elev.	H/D	Н	dc	dc+D 2	>	ΛH	Coeff	Н	T	Sf	Hf	¥ X	Comments
																-

URBANIZATION TECHNIQUE:		(Rev. 6-93)	Page	of
County	Route		Item No.	
UPN	FPN		Station	

Equation --

 $UQ_{RI} = C \times A^a \times (13 - BDF)^{-b} \times RQ_{RI}^c$

Where --

UQ = Runoff (cfs) for Urbanized Watershed

RI = Return Interval (yrs) A = Drainage Area (mi²)

BDF = Basin Development Factor (1 or 0 for each of 12 components in table below)

RQ = Runoff (cfs) from Regional Method Discharges

C, a, b, c = Constants for Equations below.

	BASIN DEV	ELOPMENT	FAC	CTOR : BDF	
Portion of Drainage Area	Channel 1 - 2 Improvement		2	Storm Sewers 1 - 2	Curb & Gutter Streets 2
Upper Third					
Middle Third					
Lower Third					
	BD	F = _			
			Σ ab	oove 1's and 0's	

NOTES: 1. Assign a "1" to these components when Storm Sewers are $\geq 50\%$.

2. Assign a "1" to these components when Curb & Gutter Streets are $\geq 50\%$.

			EQU	ATIONS			
UQ_2	=	13.20 x _	^{0.21} x	X	0.73	_	cfs
UQ₅	=	10.60 x _	0.17 x	X	0.78	=	cfs
UQ_{10}	=	9.51 x _	0.16 X		0.79	=	cfs
UQ_{25}	=	8.68 x _	0.15 X	X	0.80	=	cfs
UQ_{50}	==	8.04 x _	0.15 x	X	0.81	_	cfs
UQ ₁₀₀	******	7.70 x _	0.15 x	X	0.82	=	cfs
UQ ₅₀₀	-	7.47 x _	0.16 X	X	0.82	=	cfs

UNIT HYDR	OGRAPH CO	OMPUTATIO!	NS	:									(Re	ev.	6-	93)				1	0	f 4	1		
County:			R	O	ute	:											It	em	N	o.	:					
UPN:			F	P	N	:											S	tati	on	:						
Drainage Arc	ea (acres):		C		Pre	ser	nt	:							С	Fı	ıtu	re	:							
	Fo	ormulas												P	res	ent				T		F	utı	ıre	;	
Q =	CIA			-																T				***************************************		
Tc = Time	of Concentrat	ion (min)																		1						
Tr = Unit	Duration (min)) (≤ Tc)																		1						
Tl = Lag	Time (min)	0.7 Tc for C	! =	= (0.8	}										Anna ann Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna					Programme of the Control of the Cont					
		1.0 Tc for	C	,	= 1	0.2	2																			
Tp = Time	e to Peak (min)	= Tc + (T)	Γr .	/ :	2)																					
Tb = Time	e of Base (min)	2.5 Tp for	r C	, :	= (0.8	}	-																		
		6.0 T	p f	01	r C	! =	: ().2																		
T _Δ = Routi	ng Interval (mi	$in)$ ($\leq Tr$)																							
RO = Runo	off = Ta min	.sec.ft. = 60.5	5 (A	/	T	7)																			
b = Unit Base = Tb / TΔ																										
Qp = Peak	Runoff (cfs)	= RO(2/b))																							
Time	Present Q	Future Q		-	+	++	-						11	-			_	T T		_	_					
				F		\parallel	+					+	\parallel						\parallel	+	+	\exists	\exists	+	H	+
				E			-					1	\blacksquare					H	\blacksquare	Ī	\exists		\Box			\blacksquare
				F	\parallel	\exists				H	+	$\frac{1}{2}$	+	\exists			H	H	\exists	+	\pm		\exists	+		
				F		$\pm \dagger$				Ħ		+	$\dagger \dagger$					\dagger	\dagger	Ŧ	\dagger	\exists				\blacksquare
				E		\prod							\prod						\prod	\mp	\blacksquare			_		
				E	\perp	$\pm \frac{1}{4}$	+					\perp	\pm					\coprod	\pm	\pm	\pm	\exists	\exists		-	\perp
						$\dagger \dagger$	+					\downarrow	$\pm \pm$					\dagger	\ddagger	+	\dagger		\exists	_		\parallel
			Q	E		\exists													\pm	Ī	\pm	\exists	\equiv	\pm		\coprod
				F	Н	\Box	+			H		1	$\downarrow \downarrow$		\perp			\coprod	$\downarrow \downarrow$	\downarrow	#	$\perp \downarrow$	\perp	+		Ħ
				F	H	+	+	H	\vdash	H		+	#	+		H	H	+	+	+	\mp	\blacksquare	\dashv	+	H	\vdash
				F	\parallel	+				H			\pm							+	\blacksquare			\pm		
				F		#	+			Ħ	\top	+	#	\dagger		$\mid =$	H	$\dagger \dagger$	#	+	\mp	\exists	\exists	_	\dagger	#
				E		\Box]	\Box							I	\exists	\exists		\pm		\blacksquare
				L	\parallel	#	+	H	H	H	+	+	\ddagger	\parallel	+		H	\parallel	+	‡	#	+	\dashv	+	H	$ \pm $
				E		+	+	\vdash		H	$\frac{1}{1}$	+	\boxplus	+	\perp		H	\coprod	\exists	+	\pm	\exists	\exists		H	
					#	++	+		\vdash	H	+	+	$\dagger \dagger$				H	#	+	+	\pm	\pm	+	_	+	+
														T	im	e										

UNIT HYDROGRAPH	COMPUTATIONS:	(R	Rev. 6-93)	2 of 4
County:	Route:	It	tem No. :	
UPN:	FPN:	S	Station:	
	TRIAL STORAG	SE AND PIPE SIZE		
Time	RO Future	RO Present		Δ
0	0	0		0

		<u> </u>		
		<u> </u>		
		+		
		Σ =	_	
Storage =	x	x 60 =	Ft ³	
_	Σ ΤΔ	x 60 =adwater Elevation		
	@ Allowable Hea	adwater Elevation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Trial Pipe Size (AHW =	@ Qp for RO Preso		

J

•							
			Route:				Item No:
			FPN:				Station:
			STORA	AGE OUT	ΓFAI	L	
*		min.se	c.ft. = `	Vol ft ³ /	(min x 60 sec)
			Storage	, S =	Σ	Vol	
Surface	Q	O / 2	Surface Area	Δ Vol			S + O/2
d	cfs		ft ²	ft ³	min.	sec.	
				of a			
	Surface	Surface Q	Surface Q O / 2	* min.sec.ft. = Storage Surface Q O / 2 Surface Area d cfs ft²	* min.sec.ft. = Vol ft 3 / Storage, S = Surface Q O / 2 Surface Δ Vol Area	# min.sec.ft. = Vol ft³ / (Storage , S = Σ Vol Surface Q O / 2 Surface Δ Vol * Δ Vol d ft² ft² ft³ min.ft	Storage S = Σ Vol Surface Q O / 2 Surface Δ Vol * Δ S Vol

UNIT HYD	ROGRAPH	COMPUTA	TIONS :		(Rev.	6-93)	4 0	f 4
County:			Route:			Item 1	No. :	
UPN:			FPN:		;	Statio	n :	
		RET	TENTION DE	ETERMINAT	ΓΙΟΝ			
Time	Inflow	Inflow	$S_1 + (O_1/2)$	O_1	$S_2+(O_2)$	/2)	O_2	Remarks
min	cfs	Avg.						
					ļ			
					<u> </u>			
-								
							A. W	
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

SELECTION OF STREAM HEADWATE	R: DR-04.942 (Rev. 6-93	3) Page of
County:	Route:	Item No. :
UPN:	FPN:	Station:

The HEADWATER point on a stream is located at the site where the normal flow is 5 cubic feet per second (cfs).

Use the following equation ---

$$Q_a = 0.290 A^{1.01} E^{0.25} I^{1.27}$$

Where:

 Q_a = the mean annual discharge or the normal flow in cfs.

A = the drainage area in square miles

E = the mean elevation of the basin in thousands of feet. This is determined by laying a grid on the quad sheet and locating the elevation of five to ten uniformly spaced points. The average of these elevations divided by 1000 is E.

I = the maximum 24-hour 2-year rainfall

- 1. Determine A for site from USGS quadrangle sheets.
- 2. Determine E for the watershed.
- 3. Determine I from Exhibit DR-04.939.
- 4. Solve the above equation for Q_a .
- 5. If $Q_a \ge 5$ cfs, site is below the Headwaters for the stream.

$$A = \underline{\qquad} mi^2$$

$$I = \underline{\qquad}$$
 in.

$$Q_a = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} cfs$$